

Login

VIEW TITLE II REPORTS SUBMIT REPORTS

Webinars

Technical Assistance

User Manuals

About Title II | Contacts

Newman University Traditional Program

2013 Title II Reports

Complete Report Card

AY 2011-12

Institution Information

Name of Institution: Newman University

Institution/Program Type: Traditional

Academic Year: 2011-12

State: Kansas

Address: 3100 McCormick Avenue

Wichita, KS, 67213

Contact Name: Dr. Steven Dunn

Phone: 316.942.4291

Email: dunns@newmanu.edu

Is your institution a member of an HEA Title II Teacher Quality Partnership (TQP) grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education? (http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oii/tqp/index.html)

No

If yes, provide the following:

Award year:

Grantee name:

Project name:

Section I.a Program Information

List each teacher preparation program included in your traditional route. Indicate if your program or programs participate in a Teacher Quality Partnership Grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education as described at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oii/tqp/index.html.

Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Quality Partnership Grant Member?
Biology (6-12)	No
Chemistry (6-12)	No
Early Childhood Unified	No
Elementary Education	No
English Language Arts (5-8)	No
English Language Arts (6-12)	No
History, Government, and Social Studies (6-12)	No
Mathematics (6-12)	No
Total number of teacher preparation program	ns: 8

Section I.b Admissions

Indicate when students are formally admitted into your initial teacher certification program: Junior year

Does your initial teacher certification program conditionally admit students? Yes

Provide a link to your website where additional information about admissions requirements can be found: http://www.newmanu.edu/studynu/undergraduate/education

Please provide any additional comments about or exceptions to the admissions information provided above:

Students are conditionally admitted to the Teacher Education Program if their application packet is incomplete or if certain PPST cut scores, GPA, or other requirements are below our standards. SAT scores can be used in place of ACT scores and CBT scores can be used in place of PPST scores. Also, if students are lacking any of the five required courses requiring a C or better, they may be admitted conditionally until the courses are completed and/or grades are achieved.

Section I.b Undergraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. (§205(a)(1)(C)(i))

Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?

Yes

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the Undergraduate level.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	Yes	No
Fingerprint check	No	Yes
Background check	No	Yes
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	No	Yes
Minimum ACT score	Yes	No
Minimum SAT score	No	No
Minimum basic skills test score	Yes	No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	No	No
Recommendation(s)	Yes	Yes
Essay or personal statement	Yes	Yes
Interview	Yes	Yes
Other	Data not reported	Data not reported

What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program?

2.5

What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2011-12

3.17

What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program?

2.7

What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2011-12

Please provide any additional comments about the information provided above:

Section I.b Postgraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. (§205(a)(1)(C)(i))

Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

Yes

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the Postgraduate level.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	Yes	No
Fingerprint check	No	Yes
Background check	No	Yes
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	No	Yes
Minimum ACT score	Yes	No
Minimum SAT score	No	No
Minimum basic skills test score	Yes	No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	No	No
Recommendation(s)	Yes	Yes
Essay or personal statement	Yes	Yes
Interview	Yes	Yes
Other	Data not reported	Data not reported

What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program?

2.5

What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2011-12

3.17

What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program?

What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2011-12

3.5

Please provide any additional comments about the information provided above:

Section I.c Enrollment

Provide the number of students in the teacher preparation program in the following categories. Note that you must report on the number of students by ethnicity and race separately. Individuals who are non-Hispanic/Latino will be reported in one of the race categories. Also note that individuals can belong to one or more racial groups, so the sum of the members of each racial category may not necessarily add up to the total number of students enrolled.

For the purpose of Title II reporting, an enrolled student is defined as a student who has been admitted to a teacher preparation program, but who has not completed the program during the academic year being reported. An individual who completed the program during the academic year being reported is counted as a program completer and *not* an enrolled student.

Additional guidance on reporting race and ethnicity data.

Total number of students enrolled in 2011-12:	
Unduplicated number of males enrolled in 2011-12:	20
Unduplicated number of females enrolled in 2011-12:	83

2011-12	Number enrolled
Ethnicity	
Hispanic/Latino of any race:	10
Race	
American Indian or Alaska Native:	0
Asian:	1
Black or African American:	2
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander:	0
White:	89
Two or more races:	1

Section I.d Supervised Clinical Experience

Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2011-12.

Average number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching	72

Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	560
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring/induction support	0
Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year	7
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	28
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	103

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

Courses at Newman University that require clinical experiences include: Exceptional Child (4 hours), Field I (24 hours), Field II (24 hours), Primary Methods (10 hours), Reading Methods (10 hours), Secondary Practicum (24 hours), and Student Internship (560 hours). The Newman University School of Education organized the Elementary Teacher Education courses into four semester blocks and the Secondary Education program into three semester blocks. Elementary and Secondary programs include student teaching in their final block. In each semester students take courses that require clinical experiences. Block A for both programs require Field I and Exceptional Child for a total of 28 clinical hours. In Block B both programs require Elementary Field II or Secondary Practicum for a total of 24 clinical hours in each course. Block C Elementary requires Reading and Primary Methods for a total of 20 clinical hours. Block C Secondary Education and Block D Elementary Education is the student internship semester and both require 560 clinical hours. For students majoring in Early Childhood Unified, they take two additional courses that require supervised clinical experiences. The two ECU courses are EDUC3412 Field Experience Infant/Toddler with 24 hours of clinical experience and EDUC 3442 Field Experience Preschool with 24 hours field experience for a total of 48 clinical hours during the ECU semester block. Each semester of clinical experiences before student teaching provides students with invaluable opportunities to become familiar with school practice and procedures as they prepare for their student internship experience. Newman University School of Education uses the expert services of faculty and adjunct faculty at all three preparation sites including: NU main campus in Wichita, Kansas; Western Kansas Center in Dodge City; and Southeast Kansas Center in Independence, Kansas.

Three full-time and three half-time faculty engage in supervising clinical experiences at all three sites (for a total of 4.5 FTE's). 22 adjunct faculty are paid to teach courses that have clinical experiences or to supervise student interns for a semester (for a total of 11 FTE's).

Section I.e Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2011-12. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

Number Prepared
7
81
15

Teacher Education - Business	
Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	4
Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
Teacher Education - Health	
Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
Teacher Education - Mathematics	2
Teacher Education - Music	
Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
Teacher Education - Reading	
Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	
Teacher Education - Social Science	
Teacher Education - Social Studies	
Teacher Education - Technical Education	
Teacher Education - Computer Science	Ì
Teacher Education - Biology	2
Teacher Education - Chemistry	
Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
Teacher Education - French	
Teacher Education - German	
Teacher Education- History	7
Teacher Education - Physics	
Teacher Education - Spanish	
Teacher Education - Speech	
Teacher Education - Geography	
Teacher Education - Latin	
Teacher Education - Psychology	
Teacher Education - Earth Science	
Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	
Education - Other Specify:	

Section I.e Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2011-12. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

Academic Major	Number Prepared
Education - General	
Teacher Education - Special Education	
Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	7
Teacher Education - Elementary Education	81
Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
Teacher Education - Secondary Education	15
Teacher Education - Agriculture	
Teacher Education - Art	
Teacher Education - Business	
Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	4
Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
Teacher Education - Health	
Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
Teacher Education - Mathematics	2
Teacher Education - Music	
Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
Teacher Education - Reading	
Teacher Education - Science	
Teacher Education - Social Science	
Teacher Education - Social Studies	
Teacher Education - Technical Education	
Teacher Education - Computer Science	
Teacher Education - Biology	2
Teacher Education - Chemistry	
Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
Teacher Education - French	

Teacher Education - German	
Teacher Education - History	7
Teacher Education - Physics	
Teacher Education - Spanish	
Teacher Education - Speech	
Teacher Education - Geography	
Teacher Education - Latin	
Teacher Education - Psychology	
Teacher Education - Earth Science	
Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	
Education - Curriculum and Instruction	
Education - Social and Philosophical Foundations of Education	
Liberal Arts/Humanities	
Psychology	
Social Sciences	
Anthropology	
Economics	
Geography and Cartography	
Political Science and Government	
Sociology	
Visual and Performing Arts	
History	
Foreign Languages	
Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	
English Language/Literature	
Philosophy and Religious Studies	
Agriculture	
Communication or Journalism	
Engineering	
Biology	
Mathematics and Statistics	

Physical Sciences	
Astronomy and Astrophysics	
Atmospheric Sciences and Meteorology	
Chemistry	
Geological and Earth Sciences/Geosciences	
Physics	
Business/Business Administration/Accounting	
Computer and Information Sciences	
Other Specify:	

Section I.f Program Completers

Provide the total number of teacher preparation program completers in each of the following academic years:

2011-12: 42

2010-11:66

2009-10:54

Section II Annual Goals - Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1) (A)(ii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in mathematics in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2011-12

Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2011-12?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2011-12?

3

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in mathematics in 2011-12?

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Math faculty at Newman University encourage students taking their math courses to consider math education as a profession. The math faculty are very supportive of our programs and are positive in their encouragement. One of the math faculty wrote for and received a \$100,000+ grant from the state of Kansas to study math education effectiveness. Faculty members from the school of education will participate in the grant during the 2012-13 school year. The goal is to support local math teachers and to encourage more students to pursue math and math education. University recruiters encourage students interested in attending Newman and major in education to consider math education. We also encourage elementary education majors who have math aptitude to consider adding a middle level endorsement in math (and science) to their elementary license. We also direct students to talk with financial aid to explore financial support for those who major in high need areas like math.

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

We only recruited two math majors for 2011-12. Both were highly capable math students. We plan to continue to follow the strategies expressed above. The university has seen significant growth in concurrent enrollment courses with local high schools. Many students take college algebra during their high school years and receive university credit. We intend to involve more high school math faculty who teach the advanced standing courses to encourage their students to consider math education as a career.

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2012-13

Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2012-13?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2012-13?

4

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

We will continue to use all the strategies we currently use and involve our advisory councils to provide us with additional recruiting ideas.

Academic year 2013-14

Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2013-14?

Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in mathematics in 2013-14?

4

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Section II Annual Goals - Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing

professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1) (A)(ii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in science in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2011-12

Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2011-12?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2011-12?

3

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in science in 2011-12?

No

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

We did add two candidates who majored in secondary education biology. We were unsuccessful in adding chemistry majors and had hoped to add at least one chemistry and two biology. We inform all students interested in a secondary education degree and a middle level endorsement that science is an area of need. We encourage them to consider science as a major or endorsement. Our most successful approach, however, was to work with the science faculty at Newman University to help advertise the education program. We work with the faculty who helped prepare the biology and chemistry programs that were submitted to KSDE for approval. These faculty are interested in supporting the growth of science education majors because they see the need in schools for effective science teachers. However, our institution is known for nursing, pre-med, and other health sciences degrees. Too many of those majors are committed to a degree in the health care fields and do not consider education as an option so it is a struggle to recruit students from those programs to choose education as their major.

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

The biology department is including education in the catalog along with the various majors in the sciences. This will be helpful for faculty to refer to the option and it will be a constant reminder for faculty and students to consider education as an option. We have spoken with the advisors of various science clubs and asked them to promote the program with the club members. As an education faculty, we have met with students who express interest in our program. We will continue to use the same approach and plan to add more contact directly with the club members. We are also considering ways to advertise our program more effectively to students on campus.

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2012-13

Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2012-13?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2012-13?

4

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

We are still striving to add science majors. Our recruiting efforts continue to be to work with science faculty. We continue to struggle with our recruitment efforts.

Academic year 2013-14

Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2013-14?

Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in science in 2013-14?

4

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

We will continue to work with the science faculty to help us recruit majors.

Section II Annual Goals - Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1) (A)(ii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in special education in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2011-12

Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2011-12?

No

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2011-12?

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in special education in 2011-12?

Data not reported

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2012-13

Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2012-13?

No

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2012-13?

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2013-14

Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2013-14?

No

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in special education in 2013-14?

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Section II Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1) (A)(ii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2011-12

Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2011-12?

No

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2011-12?

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2011-12?

Data not reported

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2012-13

Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2012-13?

No

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2012-13?

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2013-14

Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2013-14?

No

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2013-14?

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Section II Assurances

Please certify that your institution is in compliance with the following assurances. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iii), §206(b)) Note: Be prepared to provide documentation and evidence for your responses, when requested, to support the following assurances.

Preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends.

Yes

Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.

Yes

Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.

NA

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.

Yes

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.

Yes

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.

Yes

Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.

Yes

Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

- 1. In two courses, Methods of Instructional Media and Differentiation and Multiculturalism, students learn teaching strategies and adaptations to meet the needs of a differentiated student body. Students learn how to incorporate multiple intelligence's in designing appropriate learning experiences; how to include various learning modalities and learning styles in each lesson plan; steps to identify exceptionalities (both gifted and special needs) and ways to modify lesson plans to accommodate those exceptionalities; strategies to assist English language learners (ELL); and ways to differentiate instruction based on varying levels of experience, knowledge, and competencies.
- 2. Students are given field experiences in the following courses, Field 1 (24 hours), Field 2 (24 hours), Secondary Practicum (24 hours), Reading Strategies (10 hours), Primary Methods (10 hours), Exceptional Child (4 hours), and Student Internship. In each of these courses, students have the opportunity to do some or all of the following: visit, observe, assist, and teach. In Field 1, students visit three different school levels, elementary (both primary and intermediate), middle school, and high school with the goal of observing the profession and teacher responsibilities to decide if education is a realistic goal and to decide what grade level is most appealing to them. At the main campus in Wichita, students in these courses have a chance to see urban, rural, racially diverse, inclusive, and both Title 1 and non-Title 1 schools. In the course, Exceptional Child, students are required to do 4 hours of observation in an inclusion setting at any grade level and any setting. In the courses, Field 2 and Secondary Practicum, students are required to perform 24 hours of teacher assistance in a school of their choice but are strongly advised to select schools that are Title 1 or that have a diverse setting. For their student teaching sites, students are advised to select diverse settings in order to gain additional experience.

An Early Childhood Unified (ECU) program was approved by KSDE and we began offering the ECU degree option for students. Because the ECU was unified with the elementary program, some significant modifications were added to the program to meet the special education requirements of the ECU program. More emphasis is given to the special needs of early childhood and birth through third grade children. Candidates in both elementary and ECU program take the same elementary preparation courses and are given more instruction in the needs of primary age children. In addition, those candidates who choose ECU are required to take six additional courses with special education integrated throughout the six courses.

Section III Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
ETS0021 -EDUCATION OF YOUNG CHILDREN Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2011-12	4			
ETS0011 -ELEM ED CURR INSTRUC ASSESSMENT Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	4			
ETS0011 -ELEM ED CURR INSTRUC ASSESSMENT Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2011-12	31	180	30	97
ETS0011 -ELEM ED CURR INSTRUC ASSESSMENT Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2010-11	46	176	46	100
ETS0011 -ELEM ED CURR INSTRUC ASSESSMENT Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2009-10	49	174	48	98
ETS0041 -ENG LANG LIT COMP CONTENT KNOWLEDGE	1			

Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2011-12				
ETS0041 -ENG LANG LIT COMP CONTENT KNOWLEDGE Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2009-10	1			
ETS0061 -MATHEMATICS CONTENT KNOWLEDGE Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2010-11	2			
ETS0089 -MIDDLE SCHOOL SOCIAL STUDIES Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2011-12	1			
ETS0524 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING 7-12 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2010-11	2			
ETS0524 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING 7-12 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2009-10	5			
ETS0624 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING 7-12 II Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	1			
ETS0624 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING 7-12 II Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2011-12	4			
ETS0621 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING EARLY CHILD II Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2011-12	1			
ETS0522 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING K-6 Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	3			
ETS0522 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING K-6 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2011-12	24	176	24	100
ETS0522 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING K-6 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2010-11	47	174	45	96
ETS0522 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING K-6 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2009-10	49	174	48	98
ETS0622 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING K-6 II	4			

Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students			
ETS0622 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING K-6 II Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2011-12	8		
ETS0622 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING K-6 II Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2010-11	1		
ETS0081 -SOCIAL STUDIES CONTENT KNOWLEDGE Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2011-12	3		
ETS0081 -SOCIAL STUDIES CONTENT KNOWLEDGE Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2009-10	4		

Section III Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2011-12	39	37	95
All program completers, 2010-11	50	48	96
All program completers, 2009-10	54	50	93

Section IV Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program.

Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited? Yes

If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:

State

NCATE

Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state (as per section 207(a) of the HEA of 2008)?

Section V Use of Technology

Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request.

Does your program prepare teachers to:

- integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction Yes
- use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning No
- use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning Yes
- use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning Yes

Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

In the course, Technology in the Classroom, students learn to use excel as a spread sheet to collect data, how to sort, format, graph, analyze, and report data. Students first learn how to gather data (like temperature readings over month) and then how to use that data to sort, format, graph, analyze and report. After learning these data management skills, students are then required to use education data and they must demonstrate their knowledge of ways to manage names, quiz and test scores, homework assignments and rubric scores, etc. They apply the same skills of managing data, sorting, formatting, graphing, analyzing, and reporting the data they have gathered. They are also asked to make decisions based on their analysis of the data gathered.

During their internship experiences, students apply the skills learned in the Technology class in their real-life setting. They must manage data the same way and demonstrate how they can use the data to assist their students in test preparation.

In the course, Methods of Instructional Media, students are required to design assessments as part of learning how to design appropriate lesson plans. Students must demonstrate how to use their assessment tools to assess student learning. They also have to show how they will respond when student learning goals are not met, by redesigning their lesson plan to show how they can adjust their instructional strategies in order to meet the student learning goals of their lesson.

In the course, Methods of Differentiation and Multicultural Education, teachers are provided skills to address the concept that all students can learn and that they learn differently and need different strategies to support their learning. This class supports the concepts introduced in the course, Exceptional Child, where teachers explore the theories and practice supported by special education. In addition, students in the course, Educational Psychology, learn how to apply learning theories to inform their practice of designing lessons to meet the learning needs of all students.

Section VI Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request.

Does your program prepare general education teachers to:

- teach students with disabilities effectively Yes
- participate as a member of individualized education program teams
 Yes
- · teach students who are limited English proficient effectively

Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares general education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

In the Exceptional Child course, students are assigned to read information and text about IEP's and to view videos of real-life IEP experiences. During class, students are assigned to small groups in order to role play an IEP. The students are given a case study of different exceptionalities and are required to determine appropriate outcomes for the students. During the in-class IEP role-play, each student is assigned to perform all three major roles - teacher, administrator, and parent during the IEP case-study course activity. The students and teacher then evaluate the decisions and the outcomes of the role-play.

Student teaching is another time when some students may have an opportunity to participate in an IEP. Some building leaders where Newman University students are assigned to student teach invite the student interns to participate in real IEP's as observers. Unfortunately, other building leaders don't allow the student interns to participate in IEP's citing confidentiality concern. As a result, not all Newman student interns are able to participate in actual IEP's in a school setting.

Does your program prepare special education teachers to:

- teach students with disabilities effectively NA
- participate as a member of individualized education program teams NA
- teach students who are limited English proficient effectively NA

Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

NA

Section VII Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card. The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

The School of Education at Newman University has three sites: Southeastern Kansas, Wichita main campus, and Western Kansas. All three sites are coordinated to offer exactly the same program. Faculty meet yearly and communicate often with adjuncts who teach the same courses to ensure fidelity and to improve course delivery and requirements. The main campus is able to offer all courses both evening and day to meet the needs of all students. Courses are small and students are closely mentored by faculty. Course assessments inform the decisions made by faculty and administration to improve the quality of student learning. We are currently preparing for our next accreditation visit from NCATE and KSDE beginning in February 2013 for our external review and October 2013 for our on-site review. We have also submitted our program reports to KSDE for review and are currently addressing the AFI's in the state feedback report.

Supporting Files



This is a United States Department of Education computer system.

About Title II | Technical Assistance | Privacy Policy | Contacts